APPROVED MINUTES 071425

TOWN OF PITTSFORD PLANNING BOARD JULY 14, 2025

Minutes of the Town of Pittsford Planning Board meeting held on July 14, 2025, at 6:30PM local time. The meeting took place in the Lower-Level Meeting Room of Pittsford Town Hall, 11 S. Main Street.

PRESENT: Paul Alguire, John Halldow, John Limbeck, Dave Jefferson, Hali Buckley, Kevin Morabito

ABSENT: Paula Liebschutz

ALSO PRESENT: Doug DeRue, Director of Planning, Zoning, and Development; April Zurowski, Planning Assistant; Evan Harkin, Student Member; Kim Taylor, Town Board Liaison

ATTENDANCE: There were 10 members of the public present.

Chairman Halldow made a motion to call the meeting to order, seconded by Board Member Limbeck. Following a unanimous voice vote, the meeting opened at 6:30PM, none opposed.

CONTINUED APPLICATION:

Passero Associates, Pittsford Oaks Apartments

Final Site Plan

Chairman Halldow stated that this application has been deemed incomplete and there is no public hearing on the matter. He invited the applicant to address the Board.

Anthony Daniele, of 2851 Clover LLC, introduced the application. Danny Daniele, of 2851 Clover LLC; Andrew Burns, of Passero Associates; Shari Kleis, of Passero Associates; and Hans Lindenhovius, of Christa Construction; were also in attendance. Mr. A. Daniele stated that since the last Planning Board meeting, the applicant presented revised elevations to the Design Review & Historic Preservation Board (DRHPB) and members expressed positive feedback. Additional elevations will be provided to the DRHPB in August. He stated that the final site plan states that the apartment building will contain 171 total units. The final floor plans cannot be completed until the DRHPB finalizes the elevations. Mr. A. Daniele anticipates comments from Town staff and the review engineer soon.

Mr. Burns stated that the two stormwater ponds have been removed from the site plan in order to reduce the steep slopes at the northeast and southeast corners. Instead, an underground chamber has been added to the plans. He stated that the landscaping plan has been updated to show additional plantings and pollinator species and low-mow species have been added. He also showed revisions to the sidewalk alignment.

Chairman Halldow stated that he appreciates the cooperation with the DRHPB.

Board Member Limbeck asked the applicant to address technical comments and concerns with Town staff in the near future.

Board Member Morabito stated his support for the project.

Mr. DeRue stated that the plans were revised to show catch basins within the roadway of Tobey Village Road that drain into the private stormwater system. He expressed concern for public water entering a private system. He also asked the applicant to take a closer look at the lighting plan, as it seems the LED lights will not

APPROVED MINUTES 071425

distribute light evenly. He asked Mr. Burns to review the necessary easements, including those between Cloverwood Senior Living and Pittsford Oaks. Mr. Burns acknowledged these comments.

Mr. Burns asked if the applicant should note native species on the landscaping plan. Mr. DeRue confirmed.

CONTINUED HEARING:

Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila – Outdoor Seating, 3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza) Special Use Permit

Cesar Moreno, of Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila, and Karl Postler, of Wilmorite Management Group LLC, introduced the application. Mr. Postler stated that proposed tables were removed from the west side of the building. Landscaping was added in front of the proposed patio area in order to alleviate the Board's concerns about landscaping removal.

Mr. DeRue noted that the number of tables and seats is incorrect on the plans. Ms. Zurowski asked if the area enclosed by the fence could be reduced to ensure the west side sidewalk can remain open. Mr. Postler and Mr. Moreno confirmed.

Chairman Halldow stated that there is an open public hearing on this matter and asked for public comment. Hearing none, Board Member Morabito motioned to close the public hearing, seconded by Board Member Limbeck, and approved by a unanimous voice vote, none opposed.

Chairman Halldow read the SEQRA and Special Use Permit resolutions, which were both unanimously approved.

OTHER DISCUSSION:

Chairman Halldow asked board members to prepare for a discussion of appointing a new Vice Chairperson at the next meeting.

The minutes of June 9, 2025, were approved following a motion by Board Member Alguire, seconded by Board Member Jefferson. Following a unanimous voice vote, the minutes were approved, none opposed.

Board Member Morabito motioned to close the meeting at 7:02, seconded by Board Member Limbeck, and approved by a unanimous voice vote, none opposed.

Respectfully submitted,

April Zurowski Planning Assistant

OFFICIAL MINUTES ARE ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT

TOWN OF PITTSFORD PLANNING BOARD SEQRA RESOLUTION

Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila – Outdoor Seating
Special Use Permit
3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza)
Tax Parcel #150.12-1-18

WHEREAS Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila, as agent for Wilmorite Management Group LLC, has made application for Special Use Permit approval for the addition of outdoor seating at 3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza), with application materials received April 30, 2025, and amended July 7, 2025; and

WHEREAS this is an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQRA and the Planning Board has conducted a single agency review; and

WHEREAS a Part I Short EAF was submitted by the applicant and the Planning Board has completed a Part II Short EAF, attached hereto; and

NOW, THEREFORE, upon careful and deliberate consideration by the Planning Board, of all written and oral submissions and testimony by the applicant, appropriate agencies, the public, and the Planning Board having given this matter due deliberation and consideration; it is

RESOLVED, that the Planning Board finds that the proposed action will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment, and accordingly, hereby grants a negative declaration pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act based upon the following Findings of Fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This application proposes the creation of outdoor seating to an existing restaurant, Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila, within the existing main building at 3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza). A portion of the existing landscaped area will be removed to expand the existing sidewalk for patio space.
- 2. The Planning Board has considered possible environmental impacts associated with the application and completed a Short Part II EAF which did not identify significant impacts, attached hereto.
- 3. The applicant submitted responses to Town Code §185-174 Determination of Impact and the Planning Board has reviewed the applicant's responses to evaluate potential impacts to the plaza and Monroe Avenue corridor and the Board has concluded that the proposed outdoor seating will not adversely impact the neighborhood or district.

CONCLUSION

The Planning Board finds that the proposed action will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment, and accordingly, hereby grants a negative declaration pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act.

The within Resolution was motioned by Planning Board Member Hali Buckley, seconded by Planning Board Member John Limbeck, and voted upon by members of the Planning Board as follows:

Paul Alguire Aye
David Jefferson Aye
Paula Liebschutz Absent
Hali Buckley Aye
Kevin Morabito Aye
John Limbeck Aye
John Halldow Aye

Adopted by the Planning Board on July 14, 2025.

April Zurowski Planning Assistant

617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Cesar Moreno					
Name of Action or Project:					
Adelita's Pittsford Patio					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
3349 Monroe Ave Suite 1, outside of the Adelita's restaurant					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:					
Remove the bushes in front and to the sides to make a patio for customers to dine outsi	de.				
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telepi	hone: (617) 893-0343			
Cesar Moreno	E-Ma	il: AdelitasMexican@Out	look.c	om	
Address:					
3349 Monroe Ave Suite 1					
City/PO:		State:		Code:	
Rochester		NY	1461		
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, le	ocal lav	v, ordinance,		NO	YES
administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that			hat	П	1
may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.				ш	-
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency?				NO	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:				✓	
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? acres					
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?0 acres					
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 0 acres					
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action Urban Rural (non-agriculture) Industrial Comm Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other (Parkland	ercial	Residential (suburi	ban)		

5. Is the proposed action, NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	V	
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	N/	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural	NO	VES
landscape?	NO	YES
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify:		
	V	ш
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO	YES
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?		V
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?	NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:		V
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?	NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:		
If No, describe method for providing potable water:		LVZ.
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?	NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:		II/
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment.		(A)
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic	NO	YES
Places? b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	V	H
	NO	YES
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	17	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	V	Ħ
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:	TAKT.	_
		1
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional	t apply:	
□ Wetland □ Urban □ Suburban		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed	NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	\checkmark	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	NO,	YES
	NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes,	NO	TES
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?	√	Ш
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: NO YES		

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES		
If Yes, explain purpose and size:	V			
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? 16 Year describes	NO	YES		
If Yes, describe:	NO NO	YES		
Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe:	√			
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE Applicant/sponsor name: Compared Compared				

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	✓	
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	✓	
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	✓	
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	✓	
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	✓	
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	✓	

7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?		✓			
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?		✓			
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of in architectural or aesthetic resources?	mportant historic, archaeological,	✓			
9.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	ural resources (e.g., wetlands,	✓			
10	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potents problems?	ial for erosion, flooding or drainage	✓			
11.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental re-	esources or human health?	√			
Part 3 Determination of Significance For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.						
Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required. Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.						
-	Name of Lead Agency	Date				
-	Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Title of Responsible Officer					
-	Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)					

TOWN OF PITTSFORD PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION

Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila – Outdoor Seating Special Use Permit 3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza) Tax Parcel #150.12-1-18

WHEREAS Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila, as agent for Wilmorite Management Group LLC, has made application for Special Use Permit approval for the addition of outdoor seating at 3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza), with application materials received April 30, 2025, and amended July 7, 2025; and

WHEREAS this is an Unlisted Action pursuant to SEQRA and as the only involved agency the Planning Board conducted a single agency review and granted a negative declaration on July 14, 2025; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was duly advertised and held on June 9, 2025, and continued through July 14, 2025, at which time it was closed, and public comment was incorporated into the public record; and

NOW, THEREFORE, upon consideration by the Planning Board, of all written and oral submissions and testimony by the applicant, appropriate agencies, and the public, the Planning Board having given this matter due deliberation and consideration; it is

RESOLVED, that the Planning Board of the Town of Pittsford grants Special Use Permit approval based upon the following Findings of Fact and subject to compliance with the following Conditions of Approval:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. This application proposes the creation of outdoor seating to an existing restaurant, Adelita's Mexican Cocina & Tequila, within the existing main building at 3349 Monroe Avenue (Pittsford Plaza). A portion of the existing landscaped area will be removed to expand the existing sidewalk for patio space.
- 2. The applicant submitted responses to Town Code §185-174 Determination of Impact and the Planning Board has reviewed the applicant's responses to evaluate potential impacts to the plaza and Monroe Avenue corridor and the Board has concluded that the proposed outdoor seating will not adversely impact the neighborhood or district.
- 3. Recent observations have shown that parking in the plaza is sufficient, but at peak times parking may only be available in less than convenient locations. The proposed use may generate increased parking generation that coincide with existing peak periods.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

- 1. Subject to compliance with or resolution to the DRC comments dated June 2, 2025, and the applicant's written responses dated June 6, 2025, unless otherwise specified herein.
- 2. Outdoor seating is limited to 5 tables and a total of 25 seats. Further expansion will require additional review.
- 3. Pedestrian access to the existing sidewalk along the front of the building must be retained following the patio expansion.
- 4. Proposed fencing must meet the requirements of the New York State Liquor Authority. Correspondence or approval notification should be shared with the Town.

- 5. The proposed gate must open in the direction of egress and must be self-closing and self-latching. If the gate is proposed to be lockable, it should be equipped with panic hardware. The gate must remain unlocked during patio hours of operation.
- 6. A building permit will be required from the Building Department. This should be issued prior to demolition or construction of the proposed outdoor patio.
- 7. The applicant has submitted a revised plan dated July 7, 2025, which includes a total of 32 new plantings. This plan has been deemed reasonably consistent with the previously approved landscaping plan for the site.

The within Resolution was motioned by Planning Board Member Kevin Morabito, seconded by Planning Board Member Hali Buckley, and voted upon by members of the Planning Board as follows:

Paul Alguire Aye
David Jefferson Aye
Paula Liebschutz Absent
Hali Buckley Aye
Kevin Morabito Aye
John Limbeck Aye
John Halldow Aye

Adopted by the Planning Board on July 14, 2025.

April Zurowski
Planning Assistant